

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,595	10/31/2003	Howard M. Thomson	58584.US/1458.7 1884 EXAMINER	
408 7	590 05/25/2004			
LUEDEKA, NEELY & GRAHAM, P.C.			WRIGHT, ANDREW D	
P O BOX 1871 KNOXVILLE, TN 37901			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KNOZVIELE,	111 37901		3617	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

KB

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/699,595	THOMSON, HOWARD M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andrew Wright	3617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
 /						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	S) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
6)[] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirements						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Evaminor				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the price		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
A441						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/31/03.	6) Other:	,, , , , ,				

Application/Control Number: 10/699,595

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 2. unpatentable over Thomson (US 3,799,093) in view of Gonzalez (US 3,779,192) and Shorter, Jr. (US 3,967,569). Thomson shows a float system. An individual float of the system comprises a foam core (12), concrete (18) encasing the core, wire mesh (column 3, lines 24-26) for reinforcing the concrete, and pretensioned cables (34). Thomson does not disclose that the core encased within a polymeric coating and does not disclose that the mesh and pretensioned cables are corrosion resistant. Shorter shows a float unit similar to Thomson in that is has a foam core and concrete casing. Shorter teaches that cracks may occur in the concrete below the waterline (column 4, lines 57-65). Gonzalez shows a float unit similar to Thomson in that is has a foam core and concrete casing. Gonzalez teaches discloses wrapping the foam core in polyethylene (column 5, lines 45-50) for the purpose of protecting the foam from corrosives in any water that should come into contact with the foam. With the teaching of Shorter that concrete can crack and expose the foam to water, and with the teaching of Gonzalez to protect the foam from water damage, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Thomson by

Application/Control Number: 10/699,595

Art Unit: 3617

adding a polyethylene coating around the foam core. The motivation would be to protect the foam core from water damage should the concrete crack. Furthermore, Gonzalez teaches that the reinforcing members in the concrete can be galvanized steel (column 4, lines 8-11). The use of galvanized steel is well known and common for corrosion protection. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Thomson by making the mesh and cables (34) from galvanized steel. The motivation would be corrosion protection.

- 3. Claim 2, Thomson discloses sleeves for receiving post-tensioning rods (88) for interconnecting units (column 4, lines 55-61). The sleeves are chaseways.
- 4. The limitations of claims 4, 6, and 7 are present in the modified invention of Thomson as described above with respect to claims 1 and 2.
- 5. Claims 3, 5, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thomson in view of Gonzalez and Shorter as applied to claims 1, 4, and 6 above, and further in view of Rytand et al. (US 6,450,737). Thomson in view of Gonzalez and Shorter does not disclose a vent extending from the core to an exterior surface of the concrete and in communication with the atmosphere. Rytand shows a dock unit comprising a foam core that is mostly encased in concrete. Rytand shows chases (44) through which utility lines can be inserted. The utility line necessarily have to exit the dock unit to be usable, so the chases (44) inherently open to atmosphere. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Thomson by adding chases through the foam core for inserting and routing utility lines. The motivation would be to provide hidden routing for lines.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,595

Art Unit: 3617

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Andrew D. Wright at telephone number (703) 308-6841. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joe Morano, can be reached at (703) 308-0230. The fax number for official communications is 703-872-9306. The fax number directly to the examiner for unofficial communications is 703-746-3548.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew D. Wright Patent Examiner Art Unit 3617 for stronger